

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Evaluation of DI, WBGT, and SW<sub>req</sub>/PHS Heat Stress Indices for Estimating the Heat Load on the Employees of a Petrochemical Industry

# MOHAMAD REZA MONAZZAM<sup>1</sup>, FARIDEH GOLBABAEI<sup>2\*</sup>, RASOUL HEMATJO<sup>3</sup>, MOSTAFA HOSSEINI<sup>4</sup>, PARVIN NASSIRI <sup>5</sup>, and SOMAYEH FAHANG DEHGHAN<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Occupational Health Engineering, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences; <sup>2</sup>Department of Occupational Health Engineering, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran; <sup>3</sup>Department of Occupational Health Engineering, Faculty of Health, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Bushehr, Iran; <sup>4</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences; <sup>5</sup>Department of Occupational Health Engineering, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences; <sup>6</sup>Department of Occupational Health Engineering, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences; <sup>6</sup>Department of Occupational Health Engineering, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences; <sup>6</sup>Department of Occupational Health Engineering, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences; <sup>6</sup>Department of Occupational Health Engineering, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences; <sup>6</sup>Department of Occupational Health Engineering, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences; <sup>6</sup>Department of Occupational Health Engineering, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Received September 8, 2013; Revised December 13, 2013; Accepted December 24, 2013

This paper is available on-line at http://ijoh.tums.ac.ir

# ABSTRACT

Heat stress is a common occupational health hazard at outdoor workplaces especially in a hot-humid climate. Overheating of the body can cause a number of problems, including heat rash, heat cramps, dizziness, heat exhaustion, and heat stroke. The present study aimed to assess heat stress indices including DI, WBGT, and SW<sub>req</sub>/PHS, as a mean to estimate the heat load on the employees of a petrochemical industry. The study was conducted in Pardis Petrochemical Company. All of the ammoniaphase workers (10 men) working in the hot-humid condition were selected and other 11 men workers were chosen from the work sites without risk of heat stress. The physiological parameters such as heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure and deep and skin temperatures and weather parameters, including: Discomfort Index, Wet Bulb Globe Temperature and Required Sweat Rate based on Predicted Heat Strain were measured simultaneously. All of the subjects in two groups as acclimated and unacclimated were monitored in two different weather and working conditions: the work-site and the restroom. The mean values of the indices and the physiological parameters for both acclimated and unacclimated groups were significantly higher at the work-site than at the rest-room. For WBGT and DI indices, the highest correlation was found with heart rate (0.731, 0.725, respectively). However; the strongest linear relationship existed between  $SW_{req}$ /PHS and deep body temperature (0.766) among the under study heat stress indices. With regard to the data obtained, the SWreq/PHS index had the greatest correlation with deep body temperature, so, it can be served as a quick tool to evaluate heat stress for a petrochemical industry like Pardis and appraise the approximate amount of heat strain imposed to the employees.

Keywords: Heat stress, DI, WBGT, SWreq/PHS, Petrochemical industry

# INTRODUCTION

Despite technological improvements in apparatuses and protective equipment, workers are still exposed to severe environmental heat stress. Heat stress is a common occupational health hazard for outdoor and indoor workers in hot environment. The effects of heat stress have proven to be great hindrances to work efficiency and productivity the health of the employees

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Farideh Golbabaei, E-mail: fgolbabaei@sina.tums.ac.ir

#### Evaluation of DI, WBGT and Swreq/PHS Heat Stress Indices

[1-5]. Therefore, protecting workers against health and safety risks of heat stress in hot workplaces is necessary. For this purpose, the first step is to conduct a heat stress assessment by the valid tools like heat stress indices [6-99]. A heat stress index is a single number that takes the effects of the basic parameters in any human thermal environment in to account [10]. After Haldane, probably the first to suggest that the wet-bulb temperature, a large number of indices were developed by other scientists. These indices can generally be categorized into one of three groups: "rational indices", "empirical indices", or "direct indices". Direct indices, such as Wet Bulb Globe Temperature (WBGT) and Discomfort Index (DI), are built on direct measurements of environmental variables [3, 11-13]. Many of the indices dependent on the regulation of body temperature are recommended [1414], such as ARIEM, which is proposed by Cadarette et al. It can predict core temperature at any given time during measurement [15]. There are other indices for assessing heat stress and predicting physiological reactions to heat, such as Predicted Four Hour Sweat Rate (P4SR) [1616], Predicted Heat Strain (PHS) [1717], Physiological Strain Index (PSI) [1818], DI [1919], and Cumulative Heat Strain Index (CHSI) [20]. The International Standard Organization (ISO) has proposed the WBGT-index for estimating the heat stress on workers in hot environments in ISO 7243 [21-22] and predicted heat strain (PHS) model for predicting human physiological responses (the sweat rate and the internal core temperature) in hot environments for occupational groups in ISO7933 [2323].

In this study, two direct indices, WBGT and DI [3], and one rational, the required sweat rate based on PHS [1717], were chosen for evaluation of heat stress in a outdoor workplace to estimate the heat load on the employees .This paper aimed to 1) assess the WBGT, PHS and DI indices ; 2) measure physiological parameters such as heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure and deep and skin temperatures ; 3) determine how the mentioned indices can be related to physiological parameters of subjects; and 4) find the strongest relationships between under study heat stress indices and physiological parameters.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The survey was performed over two consecutive weeks in the spring at Pardis Petrochemical Company in Assaluyeh, southern Iran. It is located on the shore of the Persian Gulf some 270 km SE of the provincial capital of Bushehr and is best known as the site for the land based facilities of the large Pars Special Energy Economic Zone (PSEEZ) project. The local climate is hot-humid. The average annual temperature is approximately 24°C, but it can surpass 50°C in the summer. The relative humidity ranges from 60% to 80%, and the mean rainfall is180 mm per year [2424]. This complex is the largest urea and ammonia producer

in the Middle East and one of the largest producers in the world. The study was conducted in the primary part of the ammonia phase. All of the ammonia-phase workers (10 people) working in the hot-humid worksite were selected and were considered the acclimated subjects. The acclimated subjects were defined as those who have had previous experience in jobs where heat levels are high enough to produce heat stress, with a regimen of 50% exposure on day one, 60% on day two, 80% on day three, and 100% on day four 8 [12]. Other participants (11 people) were chosen from the other work sites of the Pardis petrochemical company that were safe and without risk of heat stress. They were considered unacclimated subjects. All of the subjects were monitored during two different weather and working conditions: the work-site and the rest-room. Operators of the work-site are responsible for assessing the delivery of fuel in the primary part.

According to their periodic health examinations, all participants were in good medical condition. WBGT parameters (dry temperature, natural wet-bulb temperature, globe temperature and relative humidity) were record by a calibrated WBGT meter (CASELLA) based on ISO7243 [2121].

The WBGT index involves weighting of the drybulb temperature  $(T_{db})$ , the natural wet-bulb temperature  $(T_{nw})$  and the black-globe temperature  $(T_g)$  for outdoor conditions in the following manner:

$$WBGT_{out} = 0.7T_{nw} + 0.2T_g + 0.1T_{db}$$
 (Eq. 1)

For indoor conditions, the index was modified as follows:

$$WBGT_{in} = 0.7T_{nw} + 0.3T_g$$
 (Eq. 2)

For heterogeneous environments, it is necessary to measure WBGT at three heights: ankle, abdomen and head:

$$WBGT = \frac{WBGT_{head} + (2 \times WBGT_{abdomen}) + WBGT_{ankle}}{4}$$
(Eq. 3)

Finally, the  $WBGT_{TWA}$  (time-weighted average) should be calculated using the appropriate formula (equation4).

$$WBGT_{TWA} = \frac{(WBGTI) \times (T1) + (WBGT2) \times (T2) + \dots + (WBGTn) \times (Tn)}{T1 + T2 + \dots + Tn}$$
 (Eq. 4)  
T shows exposure time [21, 25-2626].

To calculate the DI index, two parameters are measured:  $T_{db}$  and  $T_w$  (wet-bulb temperature) [3].

$$DI = 0.5T_w + 0.5T_{db}$$
 (Eq. 5)

In ISO7933, the analytical determination and interpretation of heat stress by calculating PHS is proposed [2323]. The PHS model can be considered as a rational model, because it calculates heat exchange between the human body and environment. This model was derived from a previous heat stress index 'required sweat rate' SW<sub>req</sub> (ISO7243 -1989) and it predicts the physiological parameters (sweat rate and rectal temperature) according to the working conditions [17].

Assuming that the heat storage is equal to 0, the necessary evaporation for maintenance of the thermal

<b>Table 1.</b> Demographic data on the study participants
--

Group	Acclimated (Mean ±Std)	Unacclimated (Mean ±Std)	
Subjects (n)	10	11	<i>P</i> -value
Demographic Data			
Age	28±1.62	27.5±1.08	0.41
Weight	72.3±4.05	69.7±5.5	0.22
Height	172.2±2.6	172.4±2.3	0.72
Work Load (%) Heavy Moderate Light	100	100	1
Clothing (%) Single-Layer Two-Layer	100	100	1

equilibrium, E<sub>req</sub> (Watts per square metre), ensuring a heat balance, is calculated as follows [23]:  $E_{req}=M-W-C-R-E_{res}-C_{res}-d_{seq}$ (Eq.6)

Where: M=metabolic rate (w/m<sup>2</sup>); W=effective mechanical power (w/m<sup>2</sup>); C=convective heat flow  $(w/m^2)$ ; R=radiative heat flow; E<sub>res</sub>=respiratory evaporative heat flow  $(w/m^2)$ ; Cres = respiratory convective heat flow  $(w/m^2)$ ; d<sub>seq</sub>=body heat storage rate for increase of core temperature associated with the metabolic rate  $(w/m^2)$ .

Based on the required evaporation and the maximum evaporation heat flow at the skin surface, it is then possible to estimate the required skin wittedness, w<sub>req</sub>, [26-27]:

 $w_{req} = \frac{E_{req}}{E_{max}}$ (Eq. 8)

The required sweat rate is given by

(Eq. 9), where for  $w_{req} \leq 1$ , sweating  $SW_{req} = \frac{E_{req}}{r_{req}}$ 

efficiency [23],  $r_{req} = 1 - \frac{w_{req}^2}{2}$  (Eq. 10).

A digital blood pressure monitor, LAICA (Model MD6132, made in Italy), a skin thermometer with the range of 25 to 42 degrees (Model TM905, made in Japan) and a digital thermometer with arrange of 32 to 42 degrees (Model VT801, made in German) were used to measure heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, skin temperature (forehead, arm, chest, back, palm, thigh and lower leg) and oral temperature. Subjects did not drink any beverages or smoke for 50 minutes before the measurements were taken. All measurements (physiological and environmental parameters) were taken during working hours (8 am to 5 pm). Each physiological parameter was measured 7 to 10 times on each subject during the day. The data are analyzed using SPSS v.19. For quantitative variables. means and standard deviations were calculated; for the qualitative variables, the percentage values were calculated. Quantitative variables were compared by the Student's t-test (for data with normal distribution). The regression analysis- random effect model was performed to estimate the relationships between heat stress indices and the physiological parameters. A Pvalue <0.05 was considered to be significant.

# RESULTS

From the results shown in Table1, there were no statistically significant differences between the demographic characteristics of the acclimated and unacclimated groups (P-value >0.05).

Table 2 shows the means and standard deviations of some of the measured environmental parameters and the

Table 2. Results of environmental	parameter measurements	
-----------------------------------	------------------------	--

	Wor	Work-site		Rest-room		
	Acclimated (Mean ±Std)	Unacclimated (Mean ±Std)	Acclimated (Mean ±Std)	Unacclimated (Mean ±Std)		
Environmental Parameter						
Ta (°C)	38.8±4.1	39.9±3.9	25±1.39	24±1.46		
RH (%)	51±0.12	$44 \pm 0.09$	63±0.05	60±0.05		
WBGT (°C)	32.9±0.08	33±0.08	22.3±0.03	20.9±0.04		
DI (°C)	32.8±0.08	32.9±0.09	22±0.03	20.7±0.04		
SW <sub>req</sub> /PHS (g/h)	419±0.33	424±0.42	186±0.15	150±0.012		

## Evaluation of DI, WBGT and Swreq/PHS Heat Stress Indices

 Table 3. Physiological measurements of the subjects

	Work-site		Rest-room	
	Acclimated (Mean±Std)	Unacclimated (Mean±Std)	Acclimated (Mean±Std)	Unacclimated (Mean±Std)
Physiological Parameter	(	(	(	(
Heart rate (beats/min)	97.8±9.3	107±9.4	73.9±5.4	73.9±0.78
Systolic pressure (mmHg)	135.3±6.9	137.2±6.2	122.8±9.4	121.5±0.03
Diastolic pressure (mmHg)	90.7±12.8	92.7±7.07	77.7±6.9	76.5±9.2
Core temperature (°C)	37.7±0.09	37.8±0.12	37.6±0.03	37.6±8
Skin temperature (°C)	35±0.47	35±0.47	33.4±0.36	33.4±6.1

Table 4. (	Correlations	of heat stre	ss indices	with physic	logical	parameters
------------	--------------	--------------	------------	-------------	---------	------------

	WBGT(°C)	DI(°C)	SW <sub>req</sub> /PHS (g/h)
	$\mathbf{r}_2$	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub>
Heart rate (beats/min)	0.731	0.725	0.563
Systolic pressure (mmHg)	0.451	0.446	0.352
Diastolic pressure (mmHg)	0.375	0.354	0.277
Core temperature (°C)	0.717	0.713	0.766
Skin temperature (°C)	0.695	0.689	0.475

WBGT, DI and SW<sub>req</sub>/PHS indices. In both groups, these values were higher at the work-site than at the rest-room; using the *t*-test, we identified a statistically significant difference between them (*P*-value<0.05).

The measured physiological parameters and the independent sample T-test results for both groups at each site are listed in Table 3. The values of these physiological parameters were significantly lower at the rest-room than at the work-site (*P*-value<0.05).

We also investigated whether there is a linear relationship between the heat stress indices and physiological parameters in the subjects (Table 4). For WBGT and DI indices, the highest correlation was found with heart rate (0.731, 0.725, respectively). However; the strongest linear relationship existed between SW<sub>req</sub>/PHS and deep body temperature (0.766) among the under study heat stress indices.

#### DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to evaluate DI, WBGT and SWreq/PHS heat stress indices for estimating the heat load on the employees of a petrochemical company located in Assaluyeh of Iran with hot-humid climate. All of the subjects in two groups (acclimated and unacclimated to the hot weather) were monitored in two different weather and working conditions: the work-site and the restroom. According to results, the mean values of the physiological parameters were significantly higher at the work-site than at the rest-room for both the acclimated and unacclimated subjects. Nevertheless, all of these values were below the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) threshold limit values. Data from this study indicate that deep body temperature, on average, had the highest correlation with the mentioned indices; and the strongest relationship was found between deep body temperature and  $SW_{req}/PHS$ . For WBGT and DI indices, the highest relationship was seen with heart rate.

In 2003, Mei-Lien Chenetal assessed fatigue in steel plant workers and evaluated their physiological responses to different levels of heat stress. They reported that the measured pre-work physiological parameters were lower than the post-work parameters, but the differences were not significant. This is because the heat stress was not high enough to impose a significant impact on physiological parameters [28]. Worker heat stress and strain in aluminum smelters was evaluated by Perry W Logan et al., who revealed that heat stress could increase the values of physiological parameters such as heart rate and deep body temperature [29]; these conclusions agree with the research results presented here.

In 1996, the Cumulative Heat Strain Index (CHSI) was suggested for the evaluation of heat stress. It relied on heart rate and deep body temperature and had the capacity to rate the strain from 0 to a few hundreds or thousands [20]; in1998, another thermal index, the Physiological Strain Index (PSI), also based on heart rate and deep body temperature, was developed. The PSI could estimate physiological strain in arrange from 0-10 [18]. Furthermore, the ACGIH noted that heart rate is the primary physiological index that increases during heat exposure [30].

## CONCLUSION

With regard to the data obtained in our study, the  $SW_{req}/PHS$  index had the greatest correlation with deep body temperature, so it can be served as a quick tool to evaluate heat stress for a petrochemical industry like

Pardis and appraise the approximate amount of heat strain imposed to the employees.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was part of a M.S. thesis supported by Tehran University of Medical Sciences and it was also financially supported by HSE services of National Petrochemical Company of Iran. Authors would like to thank Dr Ghodrat Allah Nassiri, Dr. Mahdi Jahangiri and Mr Soleiman Khajavi who contributed to this study. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

#### REFERENCES

- Bourbonnais R, Zayed J, Levesque M, Busque M-A, Duguay P, Truchon G. Identification of Workers Exposed Concomitantly to Heat Stress and Chemicals. *Industrial Health* 2013;51(1):25-33.
- Crowe J, Wesseling C, Solano BR, Umaña MP, Ramírez AR, Kjellstrom T, Morales D, Nilsson M. Heat exposure in sugarcane harvesters in Costa Rica. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine* 2013; 56(10): 1157–1164.
- 3. Epstein Y, Moran DS. Thermal comfort and the heat stress indices. *Industrial Health* 2006;44(3):388-98.
- Alimohamadi I, Falahati M, Farshad A, Zokaie M, Sardar A. Evaluation and Validation of Heat Stress Indices in Iranian Oil Terminals. *IJOH* 2012;4(2):73-77.
- Golbabaei F, Monazzam Mr, Hematjo R,Hosseini M, Fahang Dehghan S. The Assessment of Heat Stress and Heat Strain in Pardis Petrochemical Complex, Tehran, Iran. *IJOH* 2013;5(1):6-11.
- Dunne JP, Stouffer RJ, John JG. Reductions in labour capacity from heat stress under climate warming. [Nature Climate Change]; 2013. [Cited 25 Feb 2014]. Available from: URL: http://indiaenvironmentportal.org.in/files/file/labour%20capacity %20from%20heat%20stress.pdf.
- Enander AE. Effects of thermal stress on human performance. Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health 1989;15:27.
- Jalil M, Sani MA, Dor Z, Yahya MS, Mohideen Batcha MF, Hasnan K. Heat stress investigation on laundry workers. International Conference on Ergonomics; Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia 2007.
- Yi W, Chan AP. Which environmental indicator is better able to predict the effects of heat stress on construction workers? *Journal of Management in Engineering* 2014; DOI:10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000284.
- Stellman JM. Encyclopaedia of occupational health and safety: International Labor Office; Geneva, Switzerland, 1998.
- 11. Haldane JS. The influence of high air temperatures. *Journal Hygiene Cambridge* 1905;5:494-513.
- NIOSH. occupational exposure to hot environmental. DHHS (NIOSH); 1986. p. 101-10.

- 13. Parsons KC. Human Thermal Environments. 2nd ed. Taylor and Francis, London, UK, 2003.
- Givoni B, Goldman RF. Predicting rectal temperature response to work, environment, and clothing. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 1972;32(6):812.
- Cadarette B, Montain S, Kolka M, Stroschein L, Matthew W, Sawka M. Cross validation of USARIEM heat strain prediction models. *Aviation, Space, and Environmental Medicine* 1999;70(10):996-1006.
- Ardle BM, Dunham W, Holling HE, Ladell WSS, J.W Scott, Thompson ML. The prediction of the physiological effects of warm and hot environments: the P4SR Index Medical Research Council London1947 Contract No.: Rep. R.N.P. 47/391.
- Wang F, Gao C, Kuklane K, Holmér I. Effects of Various Protective Clothing and Thermal Environments on Heat Strain of Unacclimated Men: The PHS (predicted heat strain) Model Revisited. *Industrial Health* 2013; 51, 266–274.
- Moran DS, Shitzer A, Pandolf KB. A physiological strain index to evaluate heat stress. *American Journal of Physiology Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* 1998;275(1):R129.
- Tselepidaki I, Santamouris M, Moustris C, Poulopoulou G. Analysis of the summer discomfort index in Athens, Greece, for cooling purposes. *Energy and Buildings* 1992;18(1):51-6.
- Frank A, Belokopytov M, Shapiro Y, Epstein Y. The cumulative heat strain index–a novel approach to assess the physiological strain induced by exercise-heat stress. *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 2001;84(6):527-32.
- ISO. Hot environments—estimation of the heat stress on working man, based on the WBGT index (web bulb globe temperature) ISO7243 1989. p. 9.
- Parsons K. International standards for the assessment of the risk of thermal strain on clothed workers in hot environments. *Annals* of Occupational Hygiene 1999;43(5):297.
- ISO. Hot environments. Analytical determination and interpretation of thermal stress using calculation of required sweat rate. ISO7933; 1989. p. 19.
- Climate of Assaluyeh. Meteorological services of Bushehr province [Cited 10 January 2014]; Available from: http://www.bushehrmet.ir/?page\_id=153.
- 25. Bell PA. Physiological, comfort, performance, and social effects of heat stress. *Journal of Social Issues* 1981;37:71-94.
- Shapiro Y, Epstein Y, Ben-Simchon C, Tsur H. Thermoregulatory responses of patients with extensive healed burns. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 1982;53(4):1019.
- Bethea D, Parsons K. The development of a practical heat stress assessment methodology for use in UK industry. In: Department of Human Sciences LU, editor.: Health and Safety Executive, London, UK, 2002.
- Chen ML, Chen CJ, Yeh WY, Huang JW, Mao IF. Heat stress evaluation and worker fatigue in a steel plant. *AIHA Journal* 2003;64(3):352-9.
- Logan PW, Bernard TE. Heat stress and strain in an aluminum smelter. American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal 1999;60(5):659-65.
- ACGIH. TLV heat stress and strain. American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, Ohio ,USA, 2010.